

## Grant Applicant Selection Rubric

Applicant Organization: \_\_\_\_\_

Reviewed by: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Application Score \_\_\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_\_

1. Do the key accomplishments described demonstrate an ability to accomplish their proposed project? Yes / No
2. Did they select which populations their project would serve? Yes / No
3. Proposed project
  - a. Did they provide a project description including parishes or towns served? Yes / No
  - b. Rate the level of impact this project would have in addressing the needs highlighted.
    - little to no impact
    - some impact
    - significant impact
    - profound impact
  - c. Would the project be led by historically marginalized groups (i.e. Black, Indigenous, People of Color, women, non-binary individuals). Yes / No
  - d. Rate the impact this project would have for marginalized and underserved communities.
    - little to no impact
    - some impact
    - significant impact
    - profound impact

3 e-g. Rate how well you feel **this project** incorporates each of CEDR's project priorities listed below (*1 – they **don't really** incorporate this priority, 2 - they **kind of** incorporate it, 3 - they incorporate it **reasonably well**, 4 – they incorporate this priority **very well**).*

- e. **Community-Based:** Arising from the community and the needs and priorities they themselves have identified. This is more than treating the community as a stakeholder this is letting them drive the bus and simply filling it with gas and removing roadblocks.

1      2      3      4

- f. **Resilience Focused:** Supporting disaster resilience in underserved communities (i.e. enhancing the community’s ability to adapt, withstand, and recover from disaster). 1    2                    3    4
- g. **Developing Equitable Leadership:** Developing equitable leadership (formal or informal) and the capacity of those individuals within the community to build and sustain resilience in the face of disasters. 1    2    3    4
  - i. *Equitable leadership serves all, and simply put means that the demographics (race, gender, age, etc.) of leadership reflect those of the community they serve..*

4 - 6. Did they clearly outline meaningful objectives, tasks, and outcomes? Yes / No

7. Did they thoughtfully consider challenges that might arise and how they would address them? Yes / No

8. Did they thoughtfully consider their organizational strengths and how they might utilize them to succeed? Yes / No

9 - 10. Did they provide a feasible budget, supported by a sufficiently detailed budget justification? Yes / No

11. Overall, how would you rate this proposed project on its **potential impact to the community** they are proposing to serve? (1 being “little to no potential impact to the community” and 10 being “potentially profound impact for the community”) When scoring this consider the need, who it would serve, and how, as well as the goals, tasks, and outcomes outlined.

1    2    3    4    5    6    7    8    9    10

12. How would you rate this application overall?

- poor
- fair
- good
- excellent

13. Any special reason this project should be considered for funding over other projects not captured in the above criteria? Please describe...

- a. At a minimum, please consider
  - i. The impact this project might have on others outside of the target audience
  - ii. The potential scalability of this project